1	Q Yes, I mean, you can I'd like
2	to hear about both of them, but
3	A He was a friend. He was somebody
4	that that had a tremendous amount of
5	knowledge in the wireless industry and I
6	considered him a friend.
7	Q Okay. Well, did he is it fair
8	to say that he sort of acted in a position of
9	a mentor to you when you started in the
10	business?
11	A Yes, I would say that's yes,
12	that could be pretty accurate.
13	Q Okay. Now, let's move on to I
14	don't want to take too long on this. Let's
15	move on to your role at PCSI. I asked you
16	before what your obligations were and you said
17	that you ran the business.
18	And your let me ask you this:
19	How did you come to be associated with PCSI?
20	What was your how did you initially become
21	involved with PCSI? Was it an existing
22	company at the time you came to it? Was it

## OPEN PUBLIC SESSION

1	something that you helped to form?
2	A It was something I formed, yes.
3	Q Okay. Can you give us the
4	circumstances surrounding its formation?
5	A Yes. In 1998 or '97, in the 800
6	MHz auction help me out here 17
7	Q You know, I don't know.
8	A Okay. The Commission had rules
9	that allowed for the sale and transfer of
10	unconstructed SMR licenses and we the firm
11	preferred to acquire licenses in Puerto Rico
12	to then to assist gosh Telecellular
1.3	Communications.
14	We were going to acquire licenses
15	and sell them to Telecellular. We had
16	previously tried to assist Telecellular by
17	putting licensees on a construction and
18	management agreement and Telecellular did not
19	pay me, so we decided at this time, with the
20	change in the rules, to put the contracts in
21	our name and
22	Q Contracts, meaning licenses?

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

## OPEN PUBLIC SESSION

1	A Yes, the license contracts.
2	Q Okay.
3	A Then to sell them to Telecellular.
4	Q Okay. And so, in this instance,
5	who was "we"? You used the
6	A Michelle. Michelle Bishop and
7	myself.
8	Q Okay. And was Pendleton Waugh
9	involved in that?
10	A To some degree we'd been talking
11	to Pen in 1997 when he was working with
12	Telecellular, and the idea came about to
13	create an entity to go after the licenses.
14	So, to some degree, there was a
15	bit of collaboration in that Telecellular
16	needed the licenses and they needed an entity
17	to purchase those licenses.
18	So, yes. To some degree Pendleton
19	Waugh was involved in why we decided to start
20	the company.
21	Q Do you know what Pendleton Waugh's
22	position was with Telecellular?

1	A Initially I know he was an
2	employee of the company, and owned stock in
3	the company, and I believe that came to an end
4	and he became he was a consultant to the
5	company, if I recall in going through the
6	documents here recently.
7	Q Okay. And can you give us the
8	time periods when
9	A I
10	Q approximately is fine.
11	A I think it was, what, May of 2007
12	that he became a consultant to Telecellular.
13	Q May of 2007?
14	A I'm sorry. 1997.
15	Q Okay. And in the formation to go
16	back in the formation well, before I
17	forget, let me go back just for a second to my
18	questions about Express Communication.
19	Do you know what happened to the
20	licenses that were owned by Express
21	Communication? You said you didn't get the
22	waiver request that you wanted, and you don't

1	remember why
2	A No, that was they had licenses
3	on their construction and management
4	agreement. I believe they owned them.
5	Q Okay. So, Express didn't own an
6	licenses?
7	A They may have, may not have. I
8	don't know.
9	Q You don't recall?
10	A I don't. I don't I wouldn't
11	know.
12	Q And you also don't recall the
13	reason that the waiver request was filed?
14	A No.
15	Q Do you recall whether Express had
16	investors?
17	A Oh, yes. Yes, they had investors.
18	Q Can you give us a rough idea how
19	many and to you know, to the dollar amounts
20	involved? If you want to break it down on a
21	yearly basis, that's fine
22	A I

1	Q overall numbers, fine, too.
2	A I'm not I don't have those
3	numbers.
4	Q Was it more than a few? Are we
5	talking five people? Are we talking 20 or 50
6	people?
7	A I'm not I'm sure there's more
8	than 50 people.
9	Q More than 50?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. All right. So let's come
12	back forward to PCSI. You said in the
13	formation of PCSI, Pendleton Waugh was
14	peripherally involved, is that you're telling
15	us, not directly involved?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q And it was you, Michelle Bishop.
18	Was there anybody else?
19	A There would be Jay Bishop as well,
20	but his his involvement was peripheral as
21	well.
22	Q Okay. And can you tell us I

1 (	know you've alluded to it, but can you give us
2	a little bit more description about what the
3	business plan, PCSI's business plan was at the
4	time of its formation?
5	A Yes, the business plan was to
6	acquire 800 MHz SMR licenses in Puerto Rico
7	and resell them to Telecellular. And to the
8	extent that we can acquire licenses elsewhere,
9	well, we were going to do that.
10	But we started in Puerto Rico
11	because we knew we had a buyer lined up in
12	Telecellular. That changed in the summer of
13	'98 when Telecellular decided they did not
14	want to purchase the licenses and I decided to
15	transfer those licenses in the name of
16	Preferred and pursue acquiring the rest of the
17	800 MHz licenses in Puerto Rico to build a
18	system.
19	Q Wait a second. You said transfer
20	those licenses in the name of Preferred?
21	A Yes.

I'm not quite clear --

Q

Okay.

22

1	A We had a number of licensees under
2	contract to purchase.
3	Q To purchase the licenses which
4	PCSI acquired?
5	A Yes. We were going to sell the
6	purchase agreements to Telecellular. They
7	chose not to buy those, so in the summer of
8	1998 we went ahead with the transfers into the
9	name of Preferred in the summer of '98.
10	Q Okay. Maybe I should ask a
11	background question in that. How did PCSI
12	acquire the licenses?
13	A Through from individuals that
14	licensees throughout the country who had
15	purchased the licenses.
16	Q Okay. And were the licenses
17	the licenses were all situated in Puerto Rico,
18	is that what you said?
19	A Yes. Yes. The licenses are in
20	Puerto Rico. The individuals were anywhere
21	throughout the country.
22	O Okav. And these are what we would

1	refer to as site-by-site licenses?
2	A The MG, yes, site-by-site. And
3	specifically GX licenses.
4	Q GX?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What did what happened after
7	the plan to sell to Telecellular fell through?
8	I guess I should ask you: Do you know why it
9	fell through?
10	A They decided to sue us as opposed
11	to acquire the pay us for them.
12	Q Do you know why? I mean, I assume
13	you know why. Tell us why.
14	A They knew that we didn't have any
15	money and the financial backer for
16	Telecellular is an attorney, and they decided
17	to apply pressure on us and take the licenses
18	away from us.
19	Q So their suit was for licenses or
20	was it for money?
21	A They wanted the licenses.
22	Q What was the basis of the suit?

1	A I'd have to go back and look at
2	the lawsuit, but
3	Q Generally, if you know.
4	A Yes. Generally it was
5	Pendleton Waugh's involvement with the company
6	and it was a breach I think it was a breach
7	of they claimed that we breached the
8	agreement, if I recall. It's been a while.
9	I can in reviewing the
10	documents I can be more specific.
11	Q All right.
12	A Boy, that's been a long time now.
13	Q Okay. Approximately when was
14	that, was that
15	A Yes, that's
16	Q the ending point of
17	A That was 1998.
18	Q 1998.
19	A And I have not looked at those
20	documents in quite some time.
21	Q But your belief is that it was
22	Pendleton Waugh's involvement in the company

1	l chat caused
2	A Well, that was one of their
3	that was one of their arguments.
4	Q And their claim was for the
5	licenses themselves?
6	A Yes. They wanted the licenses to
7	be transferred into their name. In fact, they
8	were able to the licenses actually got
9	transferred into a trustee that was appointed
10	by the court while we while Preferred
11	constructed licenses in 1998, and then in '99
12	we had to get the judge to put those licenses
13	back in the name of Preferred.
14	Q Was Preferred forced into
15	bankruptcy at that point? Is that why the
16	trustee was appointed, if you know?
17	A No, no. It was the licenses
18	were put into a trustee because there was a
19	dispute over who was going to own the
20	licenses, and there is coming to the end of
21	its construction period.
22	So, they talked the judge into

1	transferring them to to a trustee, and the
2	trustee would decide what to do with the
3	licenses to make sure that they got
4	constructed in 1998, and as it turned out, I
5	believe they the judge said that both
6	entities build simultaneously.
7	Q And did that occur?
8	A No. We constructed and
9	Telecellular did not.
10	Q Okay. And so how was the lawsuit
11	ultimately resolved, if it was?
12	A We were well, we started
13	pushing on depositions and Telecellular
14	settled out and the case was dropped.
15	Q Okay. What did they settle for,
16	if you remember?
17	A I'd have to go look. I don't
18	recall.
19	Q Was it money or was it licenses?
20	A No, it was not licenses.
21	Q Can you give us an approximate
22	figure?

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	A No. I'd have to go I'd have to
2	go take a look.
3	Q Okay. After the plan to sell the
4	Telecellular fell through, what then became
5	the next phase of PCSI's existence? What was
6	your business plan at that point?
7	A Consolidate the 800 MHz licenses
8	in Puerto Rico.
9	Q Okay.
10	A And then to, you know, predicated
11	on gaining critical mass is to start building
12	the organization up, hiring a CEO and getting
13	the financing to build and operate the system.
14	Q Okay. And how did those steps
15	progress? Now, is this in 1998 or later?
16	A Well, 1998 was the year that we
17	acquired the licenses, transferred them into
18	our name, the lawsuit with Telecellular while
19	we faced the December construction deadline,
20	which we met, so '99 we the period where we
21	met Chandu Patel who became our major
22	financial backer.

1	And in '99, primarily was spent
2	working on consolidating licenses and going
3	after the other licensees.
4	Q And I believe you said that you
5	finished you actually built out a system in
6	199?
7	A In 1998, we went down to Puerto
8	Rico and we constructed the site licenses, but
9	it wasn't you know, the towers weren't
10	linked together, it was
11	Q Were not linked together?
12	A Yes. It was, you know, repeater,
13	independent repeater sites on, I believe, nine
14	sites covering Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin
15	Islands.
16	Q Okay. And to the best of your
17	knowledge was there would there have been
18	additional filings with the FCC required in
19	order to create that system that you were
20	heading towards or trying to head towards?
21	A Additional filings
22	Q Was there something further that

1	you needed to do in order to link the licenses	
2	together to have an operating system?	
3	A Well, the goal was to you know,	
4	back in '98, '99, you know, Puerto Rico is one	
5	of the last remaining areas in the United	
6	States that was not a Nextel-dominated	
7	license. It was, you know, an opportunity to	
8	get in there and be the Nextel of Puerto Rico,	
9	if you will.	
10	Q Yes.	
11	A It was it was available. So,	
12	the goal is to acquire the rest of them and	
13	build an iDEN system.	
14	Q Was that the goal in 1999?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q So, has that been the goal all the	
17	way through?	
18	A Yes, it has.	
19	Q And can you tell us how that's	
20	progressed?	
21	A Well, in 1999 we we had that	
22	was we tried to negotiate a deal with TPR,	

1	which is Telecellular I'm sorry. There's
2	I'm sure you're aware of the two Telecellulars
3	that TCL is it TCIA and TPR. Telecellular
4	de Puerto Rico.
5	Q I believe I've heard of it.
6	A Yes. Okay. Yes, which was run by
7	Ed Nemeth and Roger Crane. And prior to
8	Preferred being formed, Telecellular and TPR
9	had battled out in court over the ownership
10	and the agreements with the licensed
11	corporations on the island.
12	We entered that is Preferred
13	had no knowledge of any what went on, other
14	than we were looking to acquire and
15	consolidate so that we could build a system.
16	Unfortunately, we could not reach
17	an agreement with Ed Nemeth. We tried to talk
18	directly and negotiate directly with the
19	licensees and we had some success.
20	At the end of the day,
21	Telecellular de Puerto Rico, or TPR, as we
22	would call them, threatened lawsuits against

1	all of the licensed corps that wanted to do
2	business with Preferred and
3	Q What were those lawsuits? What
4	would they have been based on, if you know?
5	A Probably contractual and there
6	is boy, we're stretching the memory here.
7	Q That's all right. Was it
8	interference with contract, is that what
9	you're thinking of?
10	A Yes, there would yes. No.
11	Wait a minute. TPR had not fulfilled its
12	obligations and, in fact, there was a question
13	as to who you know, what Telecellular was
14	actually the one that had a valid contract
15	between the two.
16	The licensed corporations had the
17	right to demand certain performances on behalf
18	of TPR, which they had not performed at the
19	time, and these individuals that ran the
20	corporations had the ability to control their
21	own license because they were the licensee.

At the end of the day,

22

I do

1	believe that the threat of any kind of lawsuit
2	and I it must have been contractual or what
3	have you, but they threatened them
4	individually, and so many of them backed off
5	at that point.
6	Q Okay. And at the point where that
7	happened, what position was PCSI in then? In
8	other words, you attempted to gather these
9	individual licenses together to have an
10	operating system in Puerto Rico. That didn't
11	occur.
12	A No.
13	Q I presume you that's in 1999 or
14	in 2000?
15	A Yes. 1999, leading into 2000, at
16	which point we started to prepare to enter the
17	auction, Auction Number 34.
18	Q And you met let me ask you
19	let me back up before I say that. How did you
20	come in contact with Chandu Patel that you
21	just mentioned?
22	A Chandu, I had met when I was

1	running the marketing office for Preferred
2	Express, and
3	Q Was it Express or Preferred?
4	A No, that's what I said, Express.
5	When I was in Los Angeles, when I was
6	marketing for Express I had met Chandu at that
7	point.
8	So, when in 1999 we were
9	looking to consolidate, he was one of the
10	first people that we had contacted because he
11	was somebody that was interested in
12	participating in telecommunications.
13	Q And had he become involved with
14	Express, too, as an investor?
15	A Yes. Yes, he had.
16	Q He was an investor in Express as
17	well?
18	A That's correct, yes.
19	Q Okay. Can you tell me how things
20	progressed with him, what caused him he was
21	PCSI's biggest backer, right?
22	A Yes.

1	Q Can you tell us the evolution of
2	how he came to invest the way he did in PCSI?
3	A Yes. He had come out to a meeting
4	that we held in February or March of 1999
5	I'd have to go back and look at the exact
6	dates where we had invited a number of
7	individuals that we knew that had participated
8	in telecommunications projects in the past and
9	as a result of that meeting we acquired
-0	financing from Chandu Patel to commence, you
.1	know, consolidating licenses in Puerto Rico in
.2	1999.
.3	Q And so he ultimately invested with
.4	PCSI, is that right?
.5	A Yes. That's yes.
16	Q Okay. Can you tell us
L7	approximately how much he invested?
.8	A Initially \$950,000. It was spread
.9	over a period of months. I think it was
20	roughly probably like a 14-, 16-, 18-month
21	period. Didn't want to put it all in one.
22	O And the 18 months, would have

1 begun in '99 and ended in 2000, or 2001? 2 Let me get my dates straight. Α 18 3 months is too long. So, I would say that, 4 yes, based on the initial transaction, the 5 950, and I'd have to look at the dates, but I 6 believe we're probably looking at 14 months. 7 14 months. 8 Q Fourteen months for \$950,000? 9 Α Yes. Yes. 10 Q Let me go back for just a second 11 and ask you -- I asked you about the business 12 plan that PCSI had and you mentioned that it was initially one thing and then it became 13 14 another. 15 Was there ever a concrete business 16 plan that was formulated and set out in a Was that ever produced? 17 document? 18 Α Yes, it was. 19 Q Okay. Do you know -- can I ask 20 you, was it produced annually? Did you have 21 a business plan that was produced annually, or 22 was there one overall business plan produced

1 and approximately when was that?

A Well, in -- in '98 we didn't have a business plan. We, at that point, were trying -- you know, trying to acquire licenses. In '99 we had -- you know, it was the initial stages of trying to generate enough interest from investors to see if it was worth moving forward.

The business plan came about in 2001 at the end of the auction that was the business plan that we've been running with since, and it's --

Q So, in other words, you're saying that there was no concrete business plan formed until 2001, to the best of your recollection?

A Well --

Q I'm not trying to confuse you here. My purpose is to try to obtain a copy of that document which you said exists, and as far as I know, we have not obtained it. So, do you have access to the business plan that

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	you're talking about?	
2	A You do not have a copy of that?	
3	Q No.	
4	A Oh.	
5	Q So, all I'm going to ask you to do	
6	is to provide it to your attorney so that he	
7	can provide it to us.	
8	A Okay. I was not aware of that.	
9	Q Did you see a business plan in	
10	preparing for the deposition or reviewing any	
11	of the documents associated with the	
12	interrogatories and the letters of inquiry?	
13	Did you see a document that was entitled	
14	"Business Plan"?	
15	A No.	
16	Q Okay.	
17	A But I didn't go through all	
18	like I said, I did not go through all of our	
19	discovery	
20	Q Okay.	
21	A documents that we submitted to	
22	you.	

1	Q All right		
2	A So		
3	Q But as you	ı	
4	A I'm		
5	Q Yes. Go	ahead. I'm sorry.	
6	A What I'm	saying is, I'm surprised	
7	that it was not inclu	that it was not included.	
8	Q Okay.		
9	A Because,	you know, ten years worth	
10	of documents and it's	s a shock to me.	
11	Q Okay. But	those things do happen.	
12	You've testified t	hat there is such a	
13	document, and all I'm	n asking is that will you	
14	agree that you will y	provided it to	
15	A Oh, absolu	utely.	
16	Q Okay. An	nd then we will go and	
17	request that from you	ur attorney. That's the	
18	proper channel for us	s to go through. As long	
19	as you give it to h	nim, there should be no	
20	problem.		
21	A Okay.		
22	Q Okay. Al	l right. Let me go and	

1	can you describe PCSI's general ownership	
2	structure in 1999 prior to your entry into the	
3	auction, the FCC auction? What was the	
4	ownership structure?	
5	A Can you be more	
6	Q Do you want me to be	
7	A Yes. I mean	
8	Q Okay. PCSI is a corporation, is	
9	that correct?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Okay. And do you know where it's	
12	incorporated?	
13	A Delaware.	
14	Q Okay. And at the time of its	
15	incorporation, what was the general ownership	
16	structure? And by that I mean what was the	
17	percentage of ownership in the specific	
18	individuals which you mentioned?	
19	Now, if the individuals you	
20	mentioned didn't have a specific ownership	
21	interest, obviously you can tell us that. But	
22	I'm asking you what was the structure at the	